

# Cloudera Vs Hortonworks Vs Mapr 2017 Cloudera Vs

## Cloudera vs. Hortonworks vs. MapR (2017 & Beyond): A Comparative Analysis

The big data landscape of 2017 saw a fierce competition among leading Hadoop distributions: Cloudera, Hortonworks, and MapR. This article delves into a detailed comparison of these three giants, examining their strengths and weaknesses, and exploring why Cloudera, in particular, emerged as a prominent player. We'll look beyond just the 2017 snapshot, exploring their evolution and relevance in today's big data ecosystem. Key considerations like **Hadoop distribution**, **data management**, and **enterprise support** will be central to our analysis.

### Introduction: The Hadoop Distribution Trifecta

The year 2017 marked a pivotal point for the Hadoop ecosystem. Cloudera, Hortonworks, and MapR each offered their unique spin on Apache Hadoop, competing for market share by providing enterprise-grade distributions with added features, support, and management tools. Understanding the nuances of these distributions is crucial for organizations seeking to build robust and scalable big data solutions. This analysis will dissect the key differentiators, helping you understand the choices made back then and how they impact today's decisions. We will focus heavily on the Cloudera vs. Hortonworks vs. MapR debate, paying special attention to Cloudera's strategic positioning and subsequent success.

### Feature Comparison: Cloudera, Hortonworks, and MapR in 2017

While all three platforms were based on Apache Hadoop, their approaches differed significantly:

- **Cloudera:** Cloudera positioned itself as a comprehensive data management platform, offering a broad suite of tools beyond core Hadoop, including Cloudera Manager for centralized administration, Impala for interactive SQL queries, and Cloudera Navigator for data governance and security. Their focus was on ease of use and enterprise-level management capabilities. They strongly emphasized **data warehousing** features.
- **Hortonworks:** Hortonworks took a more open-source approach, emphasizing community collaboration and close adherence to the Apache Hadoop project. Their Data Platform offered a robust and highly customizable solution, but arguably with a steeper learning curve for those not deeply familiar with Hadoop's inner workings. Their strength lay in their commitment to open standards and **extensibility**.
- **MapR:** MapR differentiated itself with its converged data platform, offering a distributed file system (MapR-FS) that integrated data management capabilities directly into the storage layer. This aimed to simplify data access and reduce complexities, particularly relevant for organizations needing both transactional and analytical processing. MapR's key focus was on **performance and ease of integration** with existing systems.

### ### Specific Feature Highlights:

| Feature         | Cloudera                                   | Hortonworks                               | MapR                                             |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Data Management | Comprehensive, strong enterprise features  | More focused on core Hadoop, customizable | Converged data platform, integrated storage      |
| Ease of Use     | Relatively easy to use and manage          | Steeper learning curve                    | Relatively easy, due to integrated system        |
| Cost            | Typically higher due to extensive features | More cost-effective for open-source users | Priced competitively, but varied widely          |
| Community       | Strong enterprise focus                    | Strong open-source community focus        | Smaller community, but strong enterprise support |

## Cloudera's Ascent: Strategic Advantages and Market Dominance

While Hortonworks and MapR offered compelling alternatives, Cloudera managed to gain significant traction in 2017 and beyond. Several factors contributed to their success:

- **Enterprise Focus:** Cloudera excelled in providing robust enterprise-grade features, such as comprehensive security, governance, and management tools. This was critical for many organizations hesitant to adopt open-source solutions directly due to support concerns.
- **Ease of Use and Management:** Cloudera Manager streamlined the administration of the entire Hadoop ecosystem, simplifying deployment and management significantly, addressing a major pain point for many users.
- **Strategic Partnerships and Acquisitions:** Cloudera strategically formed partnerships and acquired companies to enhance their platform's capabilities and expand their market reach.
- **Strong Customer Support:** A robust support infrastructure was crucial for building trust amongst enterprise clients. Cloudera successfully addressed this.

## Beyond 2017: The Evolving Big Data Landscape

The Hadoop ecosystem has evolved significantly since 2017. While the initial trio of Cloudera, Hortonworks (which merged with Cloudera in 2019), and MapR (which was acquired by Hewlett Packard Enterprise) still represents a substantial portion of the legacy landscape, the rise of cloud-native data services and new data processing technologies has reshaped the market. Companies now frequently leverage cloud-based data warehouses and serverless computing, impacting the need for traditional on-premise Hadoop deployments. However, the core principles of data management, scalability, and cost-effectiveness that drove the initial Cloudera vs. Hortonworks vs. MapR competition remain critical considerations in today's big data strategies.

## **Conclusion: Lessons from the Past, Insights for the Future**

The Cloudera vs. Hortonworks vs. MapR debate in 2017 highlights the importance of choosing the right big data platform based on specific organizational needs and priorities. Cloudera's success stems from its focus on enterprise readiness, ease of use, and strategic partnerships. While the landscape has changed drastically since then, understanding the dynamics of this historical competition offers invaluable insights. Today's organizations must consider cloud-native options alongside on-premise solutions, choosing the technology best suited to their data volumes, processing needs, and overall business objectives. The principles of efficient data management and scalability remain paramount, irrespective of the specific technology used.

## **FAQ**

### **Q1: Is Hadoop still relevant in 2024?**

A1: While Hadoop's market share has decreased due to the rise of cloud-native solutions, Hadoop remains relevant for specific use cases, especially those involving large-scale batch processing and storage of unstructured data. It's often used in conjunction with cloud platforms, enhancing storage and processing capabilities within a hybrid approach.

### **Q2: What are the main differences between Cloudera and Hortonworks (post-merger)?**

A2: After the merger, Cloudera inherited Hortonworks' open-source strengths and expanded its product portfolio. The combined entity offers a more comprehensive solution, combining the ease of management from Cloudera with Hortonworks' community-focused approach.

### **Q3: What happened to MapR?**

A3: MapR was acquired by Hewlett Packard Enterprise (HPE) in 2019. Its technology and expertise have been integrated into HPE's broader data management offerings.

### **Q4: What are the advantages of using a cloud-based data warehouse over a Hadoop distribution?**

A4: Cloud-based data warehouses offer scalability, reduced infrastructure management overhead, pay-as-you-go pricing, and integration with other cloud services. However, they might not be as cost-effective for extremely large datasets or specific highly customized workloads.

**Q5: Is it still worth learning Hadoop in 2024?**

A5: While the need for Hadoop specialists may have decreased, understanding Hadoop concepts, such as distributed computing and data processing frameworks, remains valuable. This knowledge is transferable and beneficial in many cloud-based big data environments.

**Q6: What are some modern alternatives to Hadoop?**

A6: Modern alternatives include cloud-native data warehouses like Snowflake and Google BigQuery, serverless computing platforms like AWS Lambda and Azure Functions, and distributed databases like Apache Cassandra and CockroachDB.

**Q7: How do I choose the right big data platform for my organization?**

A7: Consider your data volume, processing needs (batch vs. real-time), budget, existing infrastructure, expertise, and future scalability requirements. Evaluate various platforms based on these factors and conduct proof-of-concept tests.

**Q8: What is the future of big data platforms?**

A8: The future likely involves a hybrid approach, combining cloud-native services with on-premise solutions or managed services. Focus will continue to be on real-time analytics, AI/ML integration, data governance, and ensuring data security and compliance.

## **Cloudera vs. Hortonworks vs. MapR: Navigating the 2017 Hadoop**

## Landscape Picking the Right Platform

The year 2017 signaled a pivotal point in the evolution of Hadoop implementations. Three major actors – Cloudera, Hortonworks, and MapR – controlled the market, each presenting a unique approach to managing big data. Understanding the nuances between these architectures was, and remains, critical for organizations seeking to exploit the power of Hadoop. This detailed analysis examines the key distinctions between Cloudera, Hortonworks, and MapR in 2017, delivering insights that remain pertinent even today.

### ### Cloudera: The Commercial Solution

Cloudera, from its inception, positioned itself as the leading enterprise-grade Hadoop platform. Its emphasis was on reliability, growth, and ease of operation. Cloudera's power lay in its all-encompassing suite of instruments and services, designed to simplify the implementation and management of Hadoop systems in complex enterprise environments.

Cloudera highlighted protection features, robust supervision capabilities, and strong interoperability with existing enterprise systems. Its proprietary model offered access to expert support, training, and a vast ecosystem of associates. This rendered it an attractive option for large organizations seeking a reliable and well-supported Hadoop solution.

### ### Hortonworks: The Open-Source Champion

Hortonworks, in opposition, advocated the open-source nature of Hadoop. Its distribution, based primarily on Apache Hadoop, emphasized shared building and involvement. This method drew a large and active collection of developers and users, culminating in a swift speed of improvement.

Hortonworks' emphasis on open source lowered the hindrance to entry, making Hadoop more reachable to a larger variety of organizations. While lacking the complete commercial support offered by Cloudera, Hortonworks provided a viable choice for organizations with strong in-house engineering knowledge.

### ### MapR: The Integrated Data Platform

MapR differentiated itself from Cloudera and Hortonworks by offering a converged data platform. Instead of a pure Hadoop version, MapR integrated Hadoop with other systems like NoSQL databases and stream processing systems, producing a more complete data management system. This approach attracted to organizations desiring a easier method to handle diverse data sets within a unified platform.

MapR's emphasis on efficiency and expandability made it a competitive option for organizations requiring high speed and low latency. However, MapR's proprietary character implied that it wanted the broad group assistance experienced by Hortonworks.

### ### Choosing the Right Solution in 2017 (and Beyond)

The selection between Cloudera, Hortonworks, and MapR in 2017 (and even today) depended heavily on unique organizational demands. Cloudera gave the most robust enterprise-grade platform, with outstanding support and security. Hortonworks gave a more open and versatile method, ideal for organizations with competent in-house knowledge. MapR gave a different unified platform that eased data processing for organizations with different data requirements.

The landscape has shifted since 2017, with Cloudera and Hortonworks uniting to create Cloudera. However, the core principles that influenced the decisions back then remain pertinent when assessing modern big data technologies. Thorough evaluation of your organizational requirements, funding, and engineering skills is essential in forming the right selection.

### ### Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

#### **Q1: What is the main difference between Cloudera and Hortonworks (pre-merger)?**

**A1:** Cloudera focused on a commercial, enterprise-grade solution with powerful support. Hortonworks emphasized open-source building and community contribution, offering a more adaptable but potentially less assisted option.

#### **Q2: Is MapR still a feasible option today?**

**A2:** MapR, while no longer independently running, owns a significant legacy in converged data platforms. Its core concepts continue to impact current big data designs.

**Q3: Which platform is best for a small business?**

**A3:** A small organization might profit most from Hortonworks' open-source approach or a cloud-based Hadoop platform, reducing upfront infrastructure expenses.

**Q4: How important is help when selecting a Hadoop distribution?**

**A4:** The extent of help is crucial, particularly for organizations missing in-house skill. Commercial assistance gives peace of mind and accelerates deployment and problem-solving.

[https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/8126J0W/1381J55W45/exe/detroit\\_\\_hoist-manual.pdf](https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/8126J0W/1381J55W45/exe/detroit__hoist-manual.pdf)

[https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/38413Y45D1/69192YD/go/first\\_year-diploma\\_\\_first-semester-question-papers\\_\\_from.pdf](https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/38413Y45D1/69192YD/go/first_year-diploma__first-semester-question-papers__from.pdf)

[https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/L6Y0060091/L2Y0451/url/fabrication-cadmep\\_\\_manual.pdf](https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/L6Y0060091/L2Y0451/url/fabrication-cadmep__manual.pdf)

[https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/ek/49654EK/search/shigley39s-mechanical\\_engineering\\_design-9th\\_edition-solutions\\_\\_manual.pdf](https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/ek/49654EK/search/shigley39s-mechanical_engineering_design-9th_edition-solutions__manual.pdf)

[https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/64983VW/112955200926/upload/el\\_\\_asesinato-perfecto.pdf](https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/64983VW/112955200926/upload/el__asesinato-perfecto.pdf)

[https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/lj202609/L8932J9198112955/go/mercruiser-502-mag\\_mpi-service\\_\\_manual.pdf](https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/lj202609/L8932J9198112955/go/mercruiser-502-mag_mpi-service__manual.pdf)

[https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/112955/9P9651Z/mirror/early\\_social\\_formation\\_by\\_amar\\_farooqui\\_in\\_hindi.pdf](https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/112955/9P9651Z/mirror/early_social_formation_by_amar_farooqui_in_hindi.pdf)

[https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/qz/12684QZ/mirror/war\\_captains\\_companion-1072.pdf](https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/qz/12684QZ/mirror/war_captains_companion-1072.pdf)

<https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/W8D1612053/W5D1623/mirror/labpaq-lab-manual-chemistry.pdf>

[https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/mk202609/M91117787K112955/upload/the\\_\\_key\\_study-guide-biology\\_\\_12-university\\_\\_preparation.pdf](https://governance.ucci.edu/ky/mk202609/M91117787K112955/upload/the__key_study-guide-biology__12-university__preparation.pdf)